# Genetic parameters of F6 upland rice with lodging resistens

by Eries Dyah Mustikarini

Submission date: 10-Apr-2023 10:47AM (UTC+0700) Submission ID: 2060177012 File name: karini\_2021\_IOP\_Conf.\_Ser.\_3A\_Earth\_Environ.\_Sci.\_741\_012010.pdf (731.76K) Word count: 3132 Character count: 16581 10 IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science

PAPER · OPEN ACCESS

Genetic parameters of F6 upland rice with lodging resistance derived from landraces x national varieties

To cite this article: E D Mustikarini et al 2021 IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci. 741 012010

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

#### You may also like

Phenotype and Genetic Analysis of 3 owth Characteristics of Sabu and Semau Chickens Which are Conserved Ex-Situ

#### E M S Telupere and W M Nalley

Situdies on heritability and genetic variability for grain physical properties in Malaysign rice germplasm S A Sa 7 n, R Kamaruzaman, M S F A Razak et al.

Intelligent, net or wireless enabled 7 prosensors for high throughput monitoring of assorted crops Attila Barócsi



The Electrochemical Society Advancing solid state & electrochemical science & technology 243rd ECS Meeting with SOFC-XVIII

More than 50 symposia are available!

Present your research and accelerate science

Boston, MA • May 28 - June 2, 2023

Learn more and submit!

This content was downloaded from IP address 114.5.215.190 on 18/10/2022 at 08:58

ICBEAU 2020

IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science 741 (2021) 012010 doi:10.1088/1755-1315/741/1/012010

# Genetic parameters of F<sub>6</sub> upland rice with lodging resistance derived from landraces x national varieties

#### E D Mustikarini, G I Prayoga\*, R Santi, and H Hairul

Department of Agrotechnology, Universitas Bangka Belitung, Propinsi Kepulauan Bangka Belitung, Indonesia

Email: gigihibnuprayoga@gmail.com

Abstract. Developing rice varieties with lodging resistance is important to maintain yields. The selection of lodging resistance lines should be related to genetic parameters to determine the genetic character of plants. This study aimed to determine the variability, heritability, and genetic advances of 6th generation [F<sub>6</sub>] upland rice "padi gogo" and selected the promising lines that can be used as candidates for new superior varieties. The research was held in Experiment and Research Garden, Universitas Bangka Belitung. The study used an experimental method with a randomized block design. The treatment consisted of 10 F<sub>6</sub> genotypes, 4 parental plants, and 3 check plants, with 2 replications. Quantitative data were analyzed by calculating the values of variability, heritability, and expected genetic advance. The results showed broad phenotypic variability and high heritability for all characters. The expected genetic advance showed low criterion except for the number of grain filled. The  $F_6$ lines that can be used as candidates for new superior varieties are 23A-56-20-07-20 and 23A-56-22-20-05 lines that have better lodging resistance scores and higher production than parental and check varieties.

Keywords: genetic advance, heritability, lodging resistance, red rice, variability

#### 1. Introduction

Rice is a major staple food and strategic commodities of Indonesian and other Asian countries. Rice production of Indonesia in 2018 amounted to 56.54 million tons [1]. Meanwhile, rice imports in 2017 amounted to 305.3 thousand tons and 2.2 million tons in 2018 [2]. Another effort that can be made is to develop local rice. Three varieties of local rice in Yogyakarta have good stability and adaptation in a less than optimal environment [3]. However, there are also some disadvantages in local rice, including long harvest age, low productivity, and lack of lodging resistance [4]. Bangka's local rice crophas an average plant height of more than 100 cm which is at risk of high levels of lodging [5].

Lodging of the paddy plants causes a decrease in production. The rice yield loss due to lodging is 0-30% [6], in several studies reported that the yield could decrease up to 50% [7-8]. The solution is to develop rice varieties that are resistant to lodging through hybridization between local rice and national superior varieties. One of the ways to develop the new varieties is hybridization, which containes superior characters from the parental to acquire new genotypes [9].

The lines of rice used was the F<sub>6</sub> line from crossing between mutant red rice M8-GR150-1-9-13 X Inpago 8, M8-GR150-1-9-13 X Banyuasin, Banyuasin X Balok, Balok X Inpago 8, and Inpago 8 X Balok. Banyuasin and Inpago 8 varieties have been used as a parental donor for lodging resistant traits [10]. M8-GR150-1-9-13 was chosen because this rice has early maturity character and high yielding [11]. Balok accession is Bangka landrace red rice with lodging resistance trait and high yielding [12].

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd

ICI	DE	ΑU	1 20	20
IC.	DE	ΑU	20	20

**IOP** Publishing

IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science 741 (2021) 012010 doi:10.1088/1755-1315/741/1/012010

Rice crops from crosses need to be selected to get offspring with superior character. Genetic parameter analysis is carried out on the characteristics that affect yield potency and lodging resistance. The selection of characters is determined based on the value of variability, heritability, and expected genetic advances [13]. Therefore, this research needs to be done to measure the value of the genetic parameter of the  $F_6$  generation to obtain a superior variety candidate.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

The Materials used are  $F_6$  rice seeds, Balok, M8-GR150-1-9-13, Inpago 8 variety, Banyuasin variety, and check varieties seeds [Inpago 12 Agritan, Danau Gaung, and Rindang 1 Agritan]. T<sub>3</sub> research was carried out in the Experimental and Research Garden, Universitas Bangka Belitung. The design used was a Randomized Block Design [RBD]. The treatment consists of 17 lines with 2 repeats and a total of 34 experimental units.

The procedure includes land preparation, seed preparation, planting and harvesting, maintenance, harvesting, and post-harvest observation. The character observed are quantitative [grain weight/plot, filled grains, panicles numbers, leaf length, flowering time, plant height, tillers numbers], and qualitative [lodging index] [14]. Quantitative data were analyzed by calculating the values of variability, heritability, and expected genetic advance.

Formula calculation of variability [15];  

$$\sigma^{2}g = \frac{MSg - MSe}{r}$$

$$\sigma^{2}e = \frac{MSe}{r}$$

$$\sigma^{2}f = \sigma^{2}g - \sigma^{2}e$$

where,  $\sigma^2 g$  = genotypic variance;  $\sigma^2 e$  = environmental variance;  $\sigma^2 f$  = phenotypic variance

The standard calculation formula for genotypic and phenotypic errors;

$$\sigma_{\sigma^2 g} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{r^2}} \left\{ \frac{MSg^2}{Dbg+2} + \frac{MSe^3}{Dbe+2} \right\}$$
$$\sigma_{\sigma^2 f} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{r^2}} \left\{ \frac{MSg^2}{Dbg+2} \right\}$$

where,  $\sigma_{\sigma^2 g}$  Standard error genotypic variance;  $\sigma_{\sigma^2 f}$  Standard error phenotypic variance

The criteria of heritability value are: Genotypic variability;  $\sigma^2 g \ge 2 [\sigma_{\sigma^2 g}] = \text{wide}$  $\sigma^2 g < 2 [\sigma_{\sigma^2 g}] = \text{narrow}$ 

Phenotypic variability

$$\sigma^2 f \ge 2 [\sigma_{\sigma^2 f}] = \text{wide}$$
  
$$\sigma^2 f < 2 [\sigma_{\sigma^2 f}] = \text{narrow}$$

Calculation of heritability based on variance analysis [16];

$$H = \frac{\sigma^2 g}{\sigma^2 f}$$

where, H= Heritability;  $\sigma^2 g$ = genotypic variance;  $\sigma^2 f$ = phenotypic variance

ICBEAU 2020

**IOP** Publishing

IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science 741 (2021) 012010 doi:10.1088/1755-1315/741/1/012010

be heritability criteria are [17]: H < 0.20, Low;  $0.20 \le H \le 0.50$ , Moderate; 0.50 < H, High

The calculation formula calculation for Expected Genetic Advance [18];

EGA = i. H.  $\sigma_p$ 

 $\frac{\text{EGA}}{\overline{\mathbf{x}}} \ge 100\%$ 

where, GA = genetic advance, i = selection intensity [the value is 1.76 at 10%], H = broad sense heritability,  $\sigma_p$  = phenotypic standard deviation, ,  $\mu$  = mean of population.

The criteria of EGA value are : 0 < EGA < 3.3% = low 3.3% < EGA < 6.6% = quite low 6.6% < EGA < 10% = quite high EGA > 10\% = high

#### 3. Result and Discussion

Genotypic variability of  $F_6$  lines varied criteria while phenotypic variability includes broad criteria as displayed in Table 1. The criterion of heritability is high and the value of expected genetic advance is varied. Lines 23A-56-20-07-20 and 23A-56-22-20-05 can be used as candidates for superior varieties. The lodging index of red rice is a resistant criterion while the lodging index of Balok and  $M_8$ -GR<sub>150</sub>-1-9-13 is quite sensitive criteria.

<b>Table 1.</b> Variability of $F_6$ lines							
Quantitative character	$\sigma^2 g$	2 [ <b>σ</b> <sub>σ<sup>2</sup>g</sub> )	Criteria	$\sigma^2 f$	2 [ $\sigma_{\sigma^2 f}$ )	Criteria	
Flowering time [dap]	24,37	21,24	Wide	24,90	21,24	Wide	
Tillers numbers	160,08	148,19	Wide	173,26	147,76	Wide	
Plant height [cm]	50,17	50,57	Narrow	58,69	50,05	Wide	
Leaf length [cm]	81,72	81,74	Narrow	94,93	80,96	Wide	
Panicles numbers	134,64	120,31	Wide	140,93	120,19	Wide	
Grain weight/plot [kg]	2,71	3,17	Narrow	3,61	3,07	Wide	
Filled grains	178274,12	164947,55	Wide	192866,87	164477,42	Wide	

Description: Genotypic variability  $\sigma^2 g \ge 2 \ [\sigma_{\sigma^2 g}] = \text{wide} , \sigma^2 g < 2 \ [\sigma_{\sigma^2 g}] = \text{narrow};$  Phenotypic variability  $\sigma^2 f \ge 2 \ [\sigma_{\sigma^2 g}] = \text{narrow};$  Phenotypic variability  $\sigma^2 f \ge 2 \ [\sigma_{\sigma^2 g}] = \text{marrow};$   $\sigma_{\sigma^2 g} = \text{Standard error genotypic variance}, \sigma_{\sigma^2 f} = \text{Standard error phenotypic variance}.$ 

The character of flowering time, tillers numbers, panicles numbers, filled grains indicate the genotypic variability is wide criteria and phenotype variability shows wide criteria in all characters [Tabel 1]. The wider the genetic variety/ phenotypic, the higher the chance of lines with superior traits [19]. The selection could be performed and will be more effective for characters with wide variability [20], while it will be less effective for characters with narrow variability because the offspring are in a population that tends to be homogeneous [21].

The heritability of  $F_6$  lines is included in the high criteria and Expected Genetic Advance has varied criteria [Table 2]. The highest heritability value is the height of plants at 97.87%. The high value of heritability indicates the presence of genetic influence on the character of plants [22] and the selection will be efficient because of fewer environmental effects [23]. The high value of EGA should also be

IOP Publishing

#### IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science 741 (2021) 012010 doi:10.1088/1755-1315/741/1/012010

considered in selecting a population. The character of the filled grains indicates high EGA criteria. High genetic advances have the opportunity to improve the character's traits through selection [24]. The characters with high heritability that are associated with high genetic advance indicates that selection would be more effective [12].

<b>Table 2.</b> Heritability [%] and EGA [%] of F <sub>6</sub> lines							
Hbs [%]	Criteria	% EGA	Criteria				
97,87	High	0,24	Low				
92,39	High	4,70	Quite low				
85,49	High	0,44	Low				
86,07	High	1,47	Low				
95,53	High	5,03	Quite low				
75,32	High	0,39	Low				
92,43	High	169,69	High				
	Hbs [%] 97,87 92,39 85,49 86,07 95,53 75,32	Hbs [%]         Criteria           97,87         High           92,39         High           85,49         High           86,07         High           95,53         High           75,32         High	Hbs [%]         Criteria         % EGA           97,87         High         0,24           92,39         High         4,70           85,49         High         0,44           86,07         High         1,47           95,53         High         5,03           75,32         High         0,39				

**2** scription: Low = Hbs < 20 %; moderate= 20 %  $\leq$  Hbs  $\geq$  50 %; high=Hbs > 50 %; Hbs = heritability of broad. EGA Criteria Low = 0 < EGA < 3.3%; quite low = 3.3% < EGA < 6.6%; quite high = 6.6 % < EGA < 10%; High = EGA > 10%.

The lodging index [%] of  $F_6$  lines is varied criteria [Table 3]. All of the  $F_6$  lines showed resistance to lodging [6.24-10.62 %] while the lodging index of Balok [66.09 %] and  $M_8$ -GR<sub>150</sub>-1-9-13 [78.12 %] is quite sensitive. Plants that have above-average plant height cause lodging [26]. Lodging tolerance index was positively correlated with plant height and bending moment [27].

<b>Table 3.</b> Lodging Index $[\%]$ of $F_6$ lines						
Lines	Lodging Index [%]	Description				
23A-56-20-07-20	10,62	Resistant				
23A-56-24-22-13	6,24	Resistant				
23 A-56-13-25-13	6,24	Resistant				
23A-56-22-20-05	7,18	Resistant				
19I-06-30-17-11	7,18	Resistant				
23F-04-10-18-18	7,38	Resistant				
21B-57-21-21-23	9,52	Resistant				
19I-06-09-23-3	7,49	Resistant				
23A-56-30-25-12	7,65	Resistant				
21B-57-21-21-25	7,81	Resistant				
Inpago 8	10,78	Resistant				
Banyuasin	11,24	Resistant				
M8-GR150-1-9-13	78,12	Quite sensitive				
Balok	66,09	Quite sensitive				
Danau Gaung	22,34	Quite resistant				
Inpago 12	10,77	Resistant				
Rindang 1	11,87	Resistant				

Description: Plants no crumbs [very resistant], plants <20% [resistant], plants 21-40% [quite resistant], plants 41-60% [moderate], plants 61-80% [quite sensitive] and plants crumbs >80% [sensitive].

#### ICBEAU 2020

### IOP Publishing

# IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science 741 (2021) 012010

# doi:10.1088/1755-1315/741/1/012010

Lines	Flowering time [dap]	Tillers numbers	Plant height [cm]	Leaf length [cm]	Panicles numbers	Grain weight/plot [kg]	Filled grains
19I-06-30-17- 11[axc]	79.7 <sup>e</sup>	16.4 <sup>e</sup>	86.3 <sup>cefg</sup>	40,1	11,8	3.8 <sup>e</sup>	457.6°
23 A-56-13-25- 13[bxd]	63.4 <sup>bdefgh</sup>	21.4 <sup>de</sup>	73.1 <sup>defg</sup>	37.1 <sup>b</sup>	16.5 <sup>de</sup>	3,5	538.6 <sup>b</sup>
19I-06-09-23- 3[axc]	71.0 <sup>acefgh</sup>	18.7 <sup>ce</sup>	82.1 <sup>acefg</sup>	45.5ª	15.9 <sup>ce</sup>	4.9 <sup>e</sup>	785.5 <sup>aces</sup>
21B-57-21-21- 23[axd]	77.9 <sup>defgh</sup>	17.1 <sup>e</sup>	96.6 <sup>defg</sup>	48.6 <sup>ah</sup>	13.3°	5.6 <sup>a</sup>	550,2
23F-04-10-18- 18[axd]	73.6 <sup>adefgh</sup>	24.1 <sup>defg</sup>	88.2 <sup>defg</sup>	33,1	16.3 <sup>de</sup>	5.6 <sup>a</sup>	549,9
5 21B-57-21-21- 25[axd]	73.3 <sup>adefgh</sup>	17.9 <sup>e</sup>	86.8 <sup>defg</sup>	44.4 <sup>a</sup>	12.3 <sup>e</sup>	2,8	494.9 <sup>b</sup>
23A-56-30-25- 12[bxd]	75.5 <sup>bdfh</sup>	17.1 <sup>b</sup>	88.9 <sup>defg</sup>	40.5 <sup>b</sup>	13.7 <sup>e</sup>	4.2 <sup>b</sup>	652.9 <sup>b</sup>
23A-56-20-07- 20[bxd]	$71.8^{bdefgh}$	44.2 <sup>bdefgh</sup>	92.3 <sup>defg</sup>	36.5 <sup>b</sup>	35.5 <sup>bdefgh</sup>	6.3 <sup>b</sup>	1676.5 bdefgh
23A-56-24-22- 13[bxd]	75.8 <sup>bdfh</sup>	24.1 <sup>bdefg</sup>	75.7 <sup>defg</sup>	34.1 <sup>b</sup>	17.8 <sup>bde</sup>	5.1 <sup>b</sup>	655.5 <sup>b</sup>
23A-56-22-20- 05[bxd]	80.7 <sup>b</sup>	54.8 <sup>bdefgh</sup>	76.3 <sup>defg</sup>	30,1	47.7 <sup>bdefgh</sup>	9.5 <sup>beh</sup>	1522.8 <sup>bdegh</sup>
Inpago 8+ LSI [a]	77,1	26,3	82,6	42,9	20,2	5,5	676,1
Banyuasin+LSI [b]	84,5	22,8	56,8	32,5	17,3	3,8	381,4
M <sub>8</sub> -GR <sub>150</sub> -1-9- 13+LSI [c]	86,9	17,9	151,4	63,1	14,6	3,6	388,5
Balok +LSI [d]	78,6	19,6	141,2	62,5	15,5	9,9	1302
Danau gaung+LSI [e]	75,2	15,9	114,9	54,3	11,9	6,9	774,7
Inpago 12+LSI [f]	77,8	21,9	103,7	51,5	18,5	13,2	1594,4
Rindang 1+LSI [g]	74,6	21,6	103,6	54,6	18,0	11,5	1286,4
<i>x̄ g</i> +LSI [h]	78,1	33,7	72,5	46,4	26,3	8,1	1003,5
LSI	3,9	8,2	12,1	7,5	6,1	2,9	315,1

Description: 1.  $\bar{x} g =$  The average lines of offspring; 2. The lowercase behind the number indicates better than parent/check plant; 3. Character of flowering age and height of plants, lines is better if the value of  $\leq$  check value – LSI.

**IOP** Publishing

#### 4. Conclusion

The wide phenotypic variability and high heritability were found for all characters observed. The high expected genetic advance was only found for filled grains characters. All of the  $F_6$  lines showed resistance to lodging. Two genotypes i.e. 23A-56-20-07-20 and 23A-56-22-20-05, have better results for tiller numbers, panicle numbers, and filled grains than other genotypes and check varieties. From the selection process, 23A-56-20-07-20 and 23A-56-22-005 genotypes can be used as candidates for new superior varieties.

#### References

- [1] [BPS] Badan Pusat Statistik. 2018. Luas Panen Produksi dan Produktivitas Padi. https://www.bps.go.id/dynamictable/2019/04/15/1608/luas-panen-produksi-danproduktivitas-padi-menurut-provinsi-2018.html. [Accessed 28 Agustus 2019].
- [2] [BPS] Badan Pusat Statistik. 2019. Impor Beras Menurut Negara Asal Utama, 2000-2018. https://www.bps.go.id/statichtable/2014/09/08/1043/impor-beras-menurut-negara-asal-utama-2000-2018.html. [Accessed 14 November 2019].
- [3] Kristamtini, E. Sutarno, W. Wiranti, and S. Widyayanti. 2016. Kemajuan Genetik dan Heritabilitas Karakter Agronomi Padi Beras Hitam pada Populasi F2. Penelit. Pertan. Tanam. Pangan, 35[2] 119–124.
- [4] Riyanto A, Suwarto and Haryanto T A D 2011 Yield and Yield Component of 14 Upland Rice Genotypes in Banjamegara Regency Agronomika 11 111–121.
- [5] Prayoga, GI., ED. Mustikarini, and D. Pradika. 2017. Seleksi Aksesi Padi Lokal Bangka melalui Pengujian Variabilitas dan Heritabilitas. AGROSAINSTEK: Jurnal Ilmu dan Teknologi Pertanian, 1[2]:56–67.
- [6] Dulbari, E. Santosa, Y. Koesmaryono, and E. Sulistyono. 2018. Pendugaan Kehilangan Hasil pada Tanaman Padi Rebah Akibat Terpaan Angin Kencang dan Curah Hujan Tinggi. Agron Indonesia, 46[1]:17–23.
- [7] Zhu G, Li G, Wang D, Yuan S, and Wang F 2016 Changes in The Lodging Related Traits Along with Rice Genetic Improvment in China *PloS one* **11** e0160104.
- [8] Lang Y Z, Yang X D, Wang M E, and Zhu Q S 2012 Effects of Lodging at Defferent Filling Stages on Rice Yield and Grain Quality *Rice Science* 19 315-319.
- [9] Biswas M K, Mondal M A A, Hossain M and Islam R 2008 Utilization of Genetic Diversity and its Association with Heterosis for Progeny Selection in Potato Breeding Programs American-Eurasian J. Agric. & Environ. Sci 3 882–887.
- [10] Setyono A, Indrasari S D, Lesmana O S and Sembiring H 2009 Description of Rice Varieties. [Subang: Indonesian Center for Rice Research].
- [11] Mustikarini E D 2016 Adaptation of Red Rice Rice Mutants and Upland Rice Varieties in the Three Sub-Optimal Lands in Indonesia J. Lahan Suboptimal 5 17–25.
- [12] Mustikarini E D, Prayoga G I, Santi R, Nurqirani Z, Saragi H. Genetic Parameter Contributing to Lodging Resistance of F2 Population in Red Rice. In IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science 2019. 334, No. 1, p. 012066.
- [13] Sunarya S., H. Murdaningsih, N. Rostini, and Sumadi. 2017. Variabilitas Genetik, Kemajuan Genetik dan Pola Klaster Populasi Tegakan Benih Paraserianthes alcataria [1] nielson setelah Seleksi Massa Berdasarkan Marka Morfologi. J. Kultivasi, 16[1]: 279–286.
- [14] [IRRI] International Rice Research Institute. 2013. *Descriptors for Rice Oryza sativa L.* Manila: The International Rice Research.

IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science **741** (2021) 012010 doi:10.1088/1755-1315/741/1/012010

- [15] Ismail A., N. Wicaksana, and Z. Daulati. 2015. Heritabilitas, Variabilitas dan Analisis Kekerabatan Genetik pada 15 Genotip Pisang [Musa paradisiaca] Varietas Ambon Asal Jawa Barat Berdasarkan Karakter Morfologi di Jatinangor. J. Kultivasi, 4[1]:9–16.
- [16] Jameela H., A. Sugiharto, and A. Soegianto. 2014. Keragaman Genetik dan Herita-bilitas Karakter Komponen Hasil pada Populasi F2 Buncis [phaseolus vulgaris L] Hasil Persilangan. J. Produksi Tanam, 2[4]: 324–329.
- [17] Aryana I G P M Test of Uniformity, Heritability and Genetic Gain of Red Rice Obtained from Back Cross Selection in a Dryland Environment 2010 J. Crop Agro 3 13–20.
- [18] Widyawati Z., I. Yulianah, and Respatijarti. 2014. Heritabilitas dan Kemajuan Genetik Harapan Populasi F2 pada Tanaman Cabai Besar [Capsicum annuum L.]. J. Produksi Tanaman, 2[3]: 247–252.
- [19] Opalofia L. 2017. Keragaan Galur-galur Harapan Generasi F6 Padi Merah Hasil Persilangan Kultivar Karajut dengan Varietas Unggul Fatmawati pada Lahan Sawah di Kota Padang. [Thesis] Andalas University. Padang [Indonesia].
- [20] Yenny R F 2010 Genetic Variability of Seed Sizes of F2 Soybean [Glycine max L.] J. Agroekotek 2 49–52.
- [21] Waqar-Ul-Haq, Malik M F, Rashid M, Munir M Q and Akram Z 2008 Evaluation and Estimation of Heritability and Genetic Advancement for Yield Related Attributes in Wheat Lines Pakistan Journal of Botany 20 1699–1702.
- [22] Wardana C., A. Karyawati, and S. Sitompul. 2015. Keragaman Hasil, Heritabilitas dan Korelasi F3 Hasil Persilangan Kedelai [*Glycine max* L. Merril] Varietas Anjasmoro dengan Varietas Tanggamus, Grobogan, Galur AP Dan UB. J. Produksi Tanama, 3[5]:182–188.
- [23] Kristamtini, Sutarno, Wiranti E W and Setyorini W 2016 Genetic Advance and Heritability of Agronomic Characters of Black Rice in F2 Population J. Penelitian Pertananian Tanaman Pangan 35 119–124.
- [24] Wulandari J., I. Yulianah, and D. Saptadi. 2016. Heritabilitas dan Kemajuan Genetik Harapan Empat Populasi F2 Tomat [Lycopersicum esculentum Mill.] pada Budidaya Organik. J. Produksi Tanaman, 4[5]: 361–369.
- [25] Zen S. Galur Harapan Padi Sawah Dataran Tinggi Berumur Genjah. J. Penelitian. Terapan, 13[3]:197–205.
- [26] Arinta K, and I. Lubis. 2018. Pertumbuhan dan Produksi Beberapa Kultiver Padi Lokal Kalimantan. Bul. Agrohorti, 6[2]: 270–280.
- [27] Yang H, Yang R, Li Y, Jiang Z, and Zheng J 2000 The Relationship Between Culm Traits and Lodging Resistance of Rice Cultivars Fujian Journal of Agricultural Sciences 15 1-7.

#### cknowledgement

Thanks to Universitas Bangka Belitung for the land facilities and equipment used in the research. The Research is listed in the Chairman's decrees LPPM Universitas Bangka Belitung number: 17/UN50.3.1/PP/2020.

# Genetic parameters of F6 upland rice with lodging resistens

ORIGIN	ALITY REPORT	
1 SIMILA	9% 12% 15% 13% student participations	PERS
PRIMAR	Y SOURCES	
1	Submitted to Universitas Brawijaya Student Paper	5%
2	Submitted to Universitas Andalas Student Paper	4%
3	S A Saidon, R Kamaruzaman, M S F A Razak, A Ramli, H M Sarif, Z M Zuki, S N A Rahman, T Devarajan, E Sunian. "Studies on heritability and genetic variability for grain physical properties in Malaysian rice germplasm", IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2020 Publication	2%
4	moam.info Internet Source	2%
5	E D Mustikarini, T Lestari, G I Prayoga, R Santi, S Dewi. " Selection of red rice (L.) resistant blast disease ", IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2020 Publication	2%
6	www.jlsuboptimal.unsri.ac.id	1 ~

6

7	D Satyawan, H Rijzaani, I M Tasma. "Simulation of QTL by sequencing for agronomic quantitative trait loci detection in small to medium population size in soybean", IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2020 Publication	1 %
8	E D Mustikarini, G I Prayoga, R Santi, K Wardani. "Adaptation test for upland rice genotypes in Balunijuk village rice fields with ultisol type", IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2022 Publication	1 %
9	agrosainstek.ubb.ac.id	1%
10	Submitted to Universitas Indonesia Student Paper	1%
11	www.thaiscience.info	1%