

ISSN: 1412-033X  
E-ISSN: 2085-4722

# BIODIVERSITAS

Journal of Biological Diversity

Volume 20 - Number 7 - July 2019

# BIODIVERSITAS

Journal of Biological Diversity  
Volume 20 – Number 7 – July 2019

ISSN/E-ISSN:

1412-033X (printed edition), 2085-4722 (electronic)

## EDITORIAL BOARD (COMMUNICATING EDITORS):

**Abdel Fattah N.A. Rabou** (Palestine), **Agnieszka B. Najda** (Poland), **Alan J. Lymbery** (Australia), **Alireza Ghanadi** (Iran), **Ankur Patwardhan** (India), **Bambang H. Saharjo** (Indonesia), **Daiane H. Nunes** (Brazil), **Darlina Md. Naim** (Malaysia), **Ghulam Hassan Dar** (India), **Faiza Abbasi** (India), **Hassan Pourbabaie** (Iran), **I Made Sudiana** (Indonesia), **Ivan Zambrana-Flores** (United Kingdom), **Joko R. Witono** (Indonesia), **Katsuhiko Kondo** (Japan), **Krishna Raj** (India), **Livia Wanntorp** (Sweden), **M. Jayakara Bhandary** (India), **Mahdi Reyahi-Khoram** (Iran), **Mahendra K. Rai** (India), **Mahesh K. Adhikari** (Nepal), **Maria Panitsa** (Greece), **Muhammad Akram** (Pakistan), **Mochamad A. Soendjoto** (Indonesia), **Mohib Shah** (Pakistan), **Mohamed M.M. Najim** (Srilanka), **Morteza Eighani** (Iran), **Pawan K. Bharti** (India), **Paul K. Mbugua** (Kenya), **Rasool B. Tareen** (Pakistan), **Seweta Srivastava** (India), **Seyed Aliakbar Hedayati** (Iran), **Shahabuddin** (Indonesia), **Shahir Shamsir** (Malaysia), **Shri Kant Tripathi** (India), **Stavros Lalas** (Greece), **Subhash Santra** (India), **Sugiyarto** (Indonesia), **T.N. Prakash Kammardi** (India)

## EDITOR-IN-CHIEF:

Sutarno

## EDITORIAL MEMBERS:

English Editors: **Graham Eagleton** (grahameagleton@gmail.com), **Suranto** (surantouns@gmail.com); Technical Editor: **Solichatun** (solichatun\_s@yahoo.com), **Artini Pangastuti** (pangastuti\_tutut@yahoo.co.id); Distribution & Marketing: **Rita Rakhmawati** (oktia@yahoo.com); Webmaster: **Ari Pitoyo** (aripitoyo@yahoo.com)

## MANAGING EDITORS:

**Ahmad Dwi Setyawan** (unsjournals@gmail.com)

## PUBLISHER:

The Society for Indonesian Biodiversity

## CO-PUBLISHER:

Department of Biology, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Sebelas Maret University, Surakarta

## ADDRESS:

Jl. Ir. Sutami 36A Surakarta 57126. Tel. +62-271-7994097, Tel. & Fax.: +62-271-663375, Email: unsjournals@yahoo.com

## ONLINE:

biodiversitas.mipa.uns.ac.id

## EXPERTISE AND CORRESPONDING EMAIL OF THE COMMUNICATING EDITORS:

**GENETIC DIVERSITY:** **Agnieszka B. Najda** (agnieszka.najda@up.lublin.pl), **Alan J. Lymbery** (a.lymbery@murdoch.edu.au), **Darlina Md. Naim** (darlinamdn@usm.my), **Mahendra K. Rai** (pmkrai@hotmail.com).

**SPECIES DIVERSITY:** **Joko R. Witono** (jrwitono@yahoo.com), **Katsuhiko Kondo** (k3kondo@nodai.ac.jp), **Livia Wanntorp** (livia.wanntorp@nrm.se), **Mahesh K. Adhikari** (mkg\_adh@wlink.com.np), **Maria Panitsa** (mpanitsa@upatras.gr), **Mohib Shah** (mohibshah@awkum.edu.pk), **Paul K. Mbugua** (paulkmbugua@gmail.com), **Rasool B. Tareen** (rbtareen@yahoo.com).

**ECOSYSTEM DIVERSITY:** **Abdel Fattah N.A. Rabou** (arabou@iugaza.edu), **Alireza Ghanadi** (aghannadi@yahoo.com), **Ankur Patwardhan** (ankurpatwardhan@gmail.com), **Bambang H. Saharjo** (bhsaharjo@gmail.com), **Daiane H. Nunes** (nunesdaiane@gmail.com), **Faiza Abbasi** (faeza.abbasi@gmail.com), **Ghulam Hassan Dar** (profdar99@gmail.com), **Hassan Pourbabaie** (hassan\_pourbabaie@yahoo.com), **I Made Sudiana** (sudianai@yahoo.com), **Ivan Zambrana-Flores** (izambrana@gmail.com), **Krishna Raj** (krishnarajisec@yahoo.co.uk), **Mahdi Reyahi-Khoram** (phdmrk@gmail.com), **Mochamad A. Soendjoto** (masoendjoto@gmail.com), **Mohamed M.M. Najim** (mnajim@kln.ac.lk), **Morteza Eighani** (morteza\_eighani@yahoo.com), **Pawan K. Bharti** (gurupawanbharti@rediffmail.com), **Seweta Srivastava** (seweta.21896@lpu.co.in), **Seyed Aliakbar Hedayati** (Hedayati@gau.ac.ir), **Shahabuddin** (shahabsaleh@gmail.com), **Shahir Shamsir** (shahirshamsir@gmail.com), **Shri Kant Tripathi** (sk\_tripathi@rediffmail.com), **Stavros Lalas** (slalas@teilar.gr), **Subhash Santra** (scsantra@yahoo.com), **Sugiyarto** (sugiyarto\_ys@yahoo.com), **T.N. Prakash Kammardi** (prakashtnk@yahoo.com).

**ETHNOBIOLOGY:** **M. Jayakara Bhandary** (mbjaikar@gmail.com), **Muhammad Akram** (makram\_0451@hotmail.com).



Society for Indonesia  
Biodiversity



Sebelas Maret University  
Surakarta

## GUIDANCE FOR AUTHORS

**Aims and Scope** *Biodiversitas*, *Journal of Biological Diversity* or abbreviated as *Biodiversitas* encourages submission of manuscripts dealing with all biodiversity aspects of plants, animals and microbes at the level of the gene, species, and ecosystem as well as ethnobiology.

**Article types** The journal seeks original full-length research papers, reviews, and short communication. Manuscript of original research should be written in no more than 8,000 words (including tables and picture), or proportional with articles in this publication number. Review articles will be accommodated, while, short communication should be written at least 2,000 words, except for pre-study.

**Submission** The journal only accepts online submission, through open journal system (<https://smujo.id/biodiv/about/submissions>) or email to the editors at [unsjournals@gmail.com](mailto:unsjournals@gmail.com). Submitted manuscripts should be the original works of the author(s). The manuscript must be accompanied by a cover letter containing the article title, the first name and last name of all the authors, a paragraph describing the claimed novelty of the findings versus current knowledge. Submission of a manuscript implies that the submitted work has not been published before (except as part of a thesis or report, or abstract); and is not being considered for publication elsewhere. When a manuscript written by a group, all authors should read and approve the final version of the submitted manuscript and its revision; and agree the submission of manuscripts for this journal. All authors should have made substantial contributions to the concept and design of the research, acquisition of the data and its analysis; drafting of the manuscript and correcting of the revision. All authors must be responsible for the quality, accuracy, and ethics of the work.

**Ethics** Author(s) must obedient to the law and/or ethics in treating the object of research and pay attention to the legality of material sources and intellectual property rights.

**Copyright** If and when the manuscript is accepted for publication, the author(s) still hold the copyright and retain publishing rights without restrictions. Authors or others are allowed to multiply article as long as not for commercial purposes. For the new invention, authors are suggested to manage its patent before published.

**Open access** The journal is committed to free-open access that does not charge readers or their institutions for access. Readers are entitled to read, download, copy, distribute, print, search, or link to the full texts of articles, as long as not for commercial purposes. The license type is CC-BY-NC-SA.

**Acceptance** The only articles written in English (U.S. English) are accepted for publication. Manuscripts will be reviewed by editors and invited reviewers (double blind review) according to their disciplines. Authors will generally be notified of acceptance, rejection, or need for revision within 1 to 2 months of receipt. The manuscript is rejected if the content does not in line with the journal scope, does not meet the standard quality, inappropriate format, complicated grammar, dishonesty (i.e. plagiarism, duplicate publications, fabrication of data, citations manipulation, etc.), or ignoring correspondence in three months. The primary criteria for publication are scientific quality and biodiversity significance. **Uncorrected proofs** will be sent to the corresponding author by email as *.doc* or *.docx* files for checking and correcting of typographical errors. To avoid delay in publication, corrected proofs should be returned in 7 days. The accepted papers will be published online in a chronological order at any time, but printed in the early of each month (12 times).

**A charge** Starting on January 1, 2017, publishing costs waiver is granted to foreign (non-Indonesian) authors who first publish the manuscript in this journal, especially for graduate students from developing countries. However, other authors are charged USD 250 (IDR 3,500,000). Additional charges may be billed for language editing, USD 75-150 (IDR 1,000,000-2,000,000).

**Reprints** The sample journal reprint is only available by special request. Additional copies may be purchased when ordering by sending back the uncorrected proofs by email.

**Manuscript preparation** Manuscript is typed on A4 (210x297 mm<sup>2</sup>) paper size, in a single column, single space, 10-point (10 pt) Times New Roman font. The margin text is 3 cm from the top, 2 cm from the bottom, and 1.8 cm from the left and right. Smaller lettering size can be applied in presenting table and figure (9 pt). Word processing program or additional software can be used, however, it must be PC compatible and Microsoft Word based (*.doc* or *.rtf*; not *.docx*). **Scientific names** of species (incl. subspecies, variety, etc.) should be written in italic, except for italic sentence. Scientific name (genera, species, author), and cultivar or strain should be mentioned completely for the first time mentioning it in the body text, especially for taxonomic manuscripts. Name of genera can be shortened after first mentioning, except generating confusion. Name of the author can be eliminated after first mentioning. For example, *Rhizopus oryzae* L. UICC 524, hereinafter can be written as *R. oryzae* UICC 524. Using trivial name should be avoided, otherwise generating confusion. **Biochemical and chemical nomenclature** should follow the order of the IUPAC - IUB. For DNA sequence, it is better used Courier New font. Symbols of standard chemical and abbreviation of chemistry name can be applied for common and clear used, for example, completely written butilic hydroxyl toluene (BHT) to be BHT hereinafter. **Metric measurement** use IS denomination, usage other system should follow the value of equivalent with the denomination of IS first mentioning. Abbreviations set of, like g, mg, mL, etc. do not follow by dot. Minus index (m<sup>-2</sup>, L<sup>-1</sup>, h<sup>-1</sup>) suggested to be used, except in things like "per-plant" or "per-plot". **Equation of mathematics** does not always can be written

down in one column with text, in that case can be written separately. **Number** one to ten are expressed with words, except if it relates to measurement, while values above them written in number, except in early sentence. The fraction should be expressed in decimal. In the text, it should be used "%" rather than "percent". Avoid expressing ideas with complicated sentence and verbiage, and used efficient and effective sentence.

**Title** of the article should be written in compact, clear, and informative sentence, preferably not more than 20 words. Name of author(s) should be completely written. **Name and institution** address should also be completely written with street name and number (location), postal code, telephone number, facsimile number, and email address. Manuscript written by a group, author for correspondence along with address is required. First page of the manuscript is used for writing above information.

**Abstract** should not be more than 200 words. **Keywords** is about five words, covering scientific and local name (if any), research theme, and special methods which used; and sorted from A to Z. All important **abbreviations** must be defined at their first mention. **Running title** is about five words. **Introduction** is about 400-600 words, covering the background and aims of the research. **Materials and Methods** should emphasize on the procedures and data analysis. **Results and Discussion** should be written as a series of connecting sentences, however, for manuscript with long discussion should be divided into subtitles. Thorough discussion represents the causal effect mainly explains for why and how the results of the research were taken place, and do not only re-express the mentioned results in the form of sentences. **Concluding** sentence should be given at the end of the discussion. **Acknowledgments** are expressed in a brief; all sources of institutional, private and corporate financial support for the work must be fully acknowledged, and any potential conflicts of interest are noted.

**Figures and Tables** of maximum of three pages should be clearly presented. Title of a picture is written down below the picture, while title of a table is written above the table. Colored figures can only be accepted if the information in the manuscript can lose without those images; chart is preferred to use black and white images. Author could consign any picture or photo for the front cover, although it does not print in the manuscript. All images property of others should be mentioned source. **There is no appendix**, all data or data analysis are incorporated into Results and Discussions. For broad data, it can be displayed on the website as a supplement.

**References** Author-year citations are required. In the text give the authors name followed by the year of publication and arrange from oldest to newest and from A to Z. In citing an article written by two authors, both of them should be mentioned, however, for three and more authors only the first author is mentioned followed by et al., for example: Saharjo and Nurhayati (2006) or (Boonkerd 2003a, b, c; Sugiyarto 2004; El-Bana and Nijs 2005; Balagadde et al. 2008; Webb et al. 2008). Extent citation as shown with word "*cit*" should be avoided. Reference to unpublished data and personal communication should not appear in the list but should be cited in the text only (e.g., Rifai MA 2007, pers. com. (personal communication); Setyawan AD 2007, unpublished data). In the reference list, the references should be listed in an alphabetical order (better, if only 20 for research papers). Names of journals should be abbreviated. Always use the standard abbreviation of a journal's name according to the **ISSN List of Title Word Abbreviations** ([www.issn.org/2-22661-LTWA-online.php](http://www.issn.org/2-22661-LTWA-online.php)). The following examples are for guidance.

### Journal:

Saharjo BH, Nurhayati AD. 2006. Domination and composition structure change at hemic peat natural regeneration following burning; a case study in Pelalawan, Riau Province. *Biodiversitas* 7: 154-158.

### Book:

Rai MK, Carpinella C. 2006. Naturally Occurring Bioactive Compounds. Elsevier, Amsterdam.

### Chapter in book:

Webb CO, Cannon CH, Davies SJ. 2008. Ecological organization, biogeography, and the phylogenetic structure of rainforest tree communities. In: Carson W, Schnitzer S (eds) *Tropical Forest Community Ecology*. Wiley-Blackwell, New York.

### Abstract:

Assaed AM. 2007. Seed production and dispersal of *Rhazya stricta*. 50<sup>th</sup> annual symposium of the International Association for Vegetation Science, Swansea, UK, 23-27 July 2007.

### Proceeding:

Alikodra HS. 2000. Biodiversity for development of local autonomous government. In: Setyawan AD, Sutarno (eds.) *Toward Mount Lawu National Park; Proceeding of National Seminary and Workshop on Biodiversity Conservation to Protect and Save Germplasm in Java Island*. Universitas Sebelas Maret, Surakarta, 17-20 July 2000. [Indonesian]

### Thesis, Dissertation:

Sugiyarto. 2004. Soil Macro-invertebrates Diversity and Inter-Cropping Plants Productivity in Agroforestry System based on Sengon. [Dissertation]. Universitas Brawijaya, Malang. [Indonesian]

### Information from internet:

Balagadde FK, Song H, Ozaki J, Collins CH, Barnet M, Arnold FH, Quake SR, You L. 2008. A synthetic *Escherichia coli* predator-prey ecosystem. *Mol Syst Biol* 4: 187. [www.molecularsystemsbiology.com](http://www.molecularsystemsbiology.com)

**Front cover: Echinometra mathaei Blainville, 1825**  
(PHOTO: S CRAVEN)

---

Published monthly

PRINTED IN INDONESIA

ISSN: 1412-033X

E-ISSN: 2085-4722



9 771412 033665



9 772085 472669

## Short Communication: The diversity of butterflies (Superfamily Papilionoidea) as a success indicator of tin-mined land revegetation

DINDA WIRANTI<sup>1</sup>\*, EDDY NURTJAHYA<sup>1</sup>, DAHELMI<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biology, Faculty of Agriculture, Fisheries and Biology, Universitas Bangka Belitung. Jl. Raya Balunijuk, Merawang, Bangka 33126, Kepulauan Bangka Belitung, Indonesia. Tel./fax.: +62-717-4260048. \*email: ms.wiranti@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup>Department of Biology, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Universitas Andalas. Jl. Unand, Limau Manis, Padang 25163, West Sumatra, Indonesia.

Manuscript received: 9 May 2019. Revision accepted: 23 June 2019.

**Abstract.** Wiranti D, Nurtjahya E, Dahelmi. 2019. Short Communication: The diversity of butterflies (Superfamily Papilionoidea) as a success indicator of tin-mined land revegetation. *Biodiversitas* 20: 1923-1928. Some former tin-mining areas in Belitung District have been revegetated. With the increase of vegetation age, the environmental quality changes, and so does the diversity of insects living in the vegetated areas. The objective of this study was to propose the use of butterfly diversity as a success indicator of tin-mined land revegetation. The research was conducted at six locations in Belitung District, consisting of one tin-mined land that had not been revegetated, four revegetated tin-mined lands with different ages of vegetation, namely 1-5 years, 5-10 years, 10-20 years, more than 20 years, and primary forest in Gunung Tajam. The research used the Pollard walk method and specimens were obtained using insect nets. The results showed that the highest diversity of butterflies was recorded in primary forest (31 species), followed by vegetated mined lands with the following ages of vegetation: > 20 years (21 species), 10-20 years (15 species), 5-10 years (14 species), and 1-5 years (7 species), and the lowest diversity was found in tin-mined land that had not been revegetated (2 species). The Shannon-Wiener diversity index in tin-mined land that had not been revegetated was low, namely 0.56 while in the revegetated tin-mined land was medium, i.e., 1.47 – 2.96 and in primary forest was high, i.e., 3.2. The diversity of butterflies in revegetated land increased with the increasing age of vegetation, and the community similarity index between revegetated land and forest also increased with the increasing age of vegetation. Therefore, the diversity of butterflies may be used as a success indicator of revegetation in former tin mining areas.

**Keywords:** Belitung, butterfly, diversity, tin mined revegetation

### INTRODUCTION

Butterfly is one of insects that can be used as environmental indicator because the diversity of butterfly is related to the quality of the environment. High diversity of butterflies is an indicator that the environment is still natural and preserved. The changing of habitat function can affect butterflies in an area, so butterflies can be used as an indicator of environmental quality (Peggie 2014).

Tin mining activities in Bangka Belitung cause environmental damages, such as the destruction of the landscape, the changing of natural habitat, the decline of biological riches, and pollution. One of the impacts of environmental changes in Bangka Belitung caused by tin mining is the decline of animal diversity. It is, therefore, necessary to revegetate the former mining land. According to the Indonesian Forestry Minister's regulation No. P.4/Menhut-II/2011 about forest reclamation guidelines, revegetation is an attempt to restore damaged land and vegetation through cultivation and maintenance activities (MoF 2011). To restore biodiversity and ecosystem services, land reclamation has been proposed as an activity that should be conducted in all mining areas. The success of reclamation can be seen from species recovery and from the recovery processes in the ecosystem itself (Rahayu 2016).

Research on the effect of environmental changes on the diversity of butterflies in Indonesia has been done, such as the diversity of butterflies in Merapi mountain after the eruption (Widyaningrum et al. 2014) and in Ulolanang nature reserve after the decrease of some species of plants and in post-fire forest in Kalimantan (Sulistiyani 2013). Based on the ecological role of butterfly as an environmental indicator, it is necessary to research the diversity of butterflies in a former mining land that has been revegetated. The objective of this study was to propose the use of butterfly diversity as a success indicator of tin-mined land revegetation.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Study area

This research was conducted from January to September 2017 in Belitung District, Bangka-Belitung Province, Indonesia, in five former tin-mining areas, one of which had not been revegetated and the rest of which had been revegetated, and in Gunung Tajam forest area (02°46'19.30" S, 107°51'31.60" E). The five former mining sites had different ages of vegetation, namely 0 year (02°47'41.37" S, 107°37'56.43" E), 1-5 years

(02°37'11.87" S, 107°45'19.73" E), 5-10 years (02°40'24.48" S, 107°42'0.57" E), 10-20 years (02°53'26.21" S, 107°40'19.35" E), and more than 20 years (02°36'51.01" S, 107°45'19.30" E) (Figure 1). The areas were coded as areas I, II, III, IV, V and VI. Identification of butterflies was conducted in Entomology Laboratory, Research Center for Biology, Indonesian Institute of Sciences, Cibinong, Bogor, West Java, Indonesia.

## Procedures

### Data collection of butterfly diversity


Sampling of butterflies was conducted using the Pollard transect method, the direction of the transect line being adjusted to the field conditions (Pollard 1977). The length of transect line was 500 m at each research location. The butterflies were caught using insect nets in the morning (08.30 - 10.30 AM) and afternoon (15.00 - 17.00 PM) (Wood & Gillman 1998). Butterfly collection was carried out for 3 days in each study area. Each butterfly encountered at a radius of 5 m to the left and right of the transect line and 5 m forward was captured with insect nets, then killed by punching the thorax, and finally inserted into papilot (triangle shaped paper). The time and date of the collection, the location of the study, and the code of numbers to distinguish one species from another were recorded in each folded paper (Wood & Gillman 1998).

### Preservation and identification

Each butterfly was moved from papilot (folded) paper, and stabbed with an insect pin on the thorax. The butterfly wings were stretched across the stretch board and dried in the dryer for 2 weeks. After that, identification was performed based on morphological features using the identification guide of Flemming (1975), Seki et al. (1991), Tsukada (1991), and Peggie and Amir (2006). The butterflies were also compared with the collection of butterflies in the Entomology Laboratory, Zoology, LIPI.

### Environmental data measurement

The environmental data consisted of abiotic and biotic data. Abiotic data consisted of air temperature, humidity, light intensity, and wind speed. Environmental factors were measured in the morning and afternoon at the starting point, midpoint and endpoint transect. The biotic data consisted of vegetation data, taken in plots along the transect line, by skipping one or more plots in the line, so along the transect line, there were plots with the same distance from one another (Kusmana 1997). There were 5 plots (50 m x 50 m) along the transect line. Within each plot, other subplots were made for measurement of different growth stages of plants, namely 1 m x 1 m plot for seedlings, 5 m x 5 m plot for saplings, 10 m x 10 m plot for poles, and 20 m x 20 m for trees. The plants within the plots were then identified using local names with the help of a key informant who knew about the local names of the forest plants, while the identification of the scientific names was done using the website [www.plantamor.com](http://www.plantamor.com).



**Figure 1.** Location of study areas in Belitung District, Bangka-Belitung Province, Indonesia

## Data analyses

Species composition of vegetation was analyzed by determining Importance Value Index for each species. Similarity Index was determined to analyze the similarity of vegetation composition among six areas. Shannon-Winner Index of general diversity (H'), Species Richness Index of Margalef (DMG), and Evenness Index (E) were determined to analyze the diversity of butterflies (Odum 1971).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Results

In the study areas, there were 54 species of butterflies belonging to 38 genera and 4 families. The number of species and individuals were 2 and 4 in area I (0 year), 7 and 13 in area II (1-5 years) 14 and 26 in area III (5-10 years), 15 and 26 in area IV (10-20 years), 20 and 26 in area V (over 20 years) and 32 and 57 in area VI (primary forests) (Table 1).

### Discussion

*The diversity of butterflies in mined area which had not been revegetated*

The diversity of butterfly species in the area which had not been revegetated was the lowest; only two species were found, namely *Ypthima horsfieldi* Moore and *Zizina otis* Fabricius (Table 1). The diversity of butterflies was low because the location did not support butterfly life, such as lack of vegetation as a source of food, lack of nectar-producing flowering plants and less suitable microclimate conditions for the life of butterflies. The land was left barren and filled with the spoil of tin mining. The grasses on the land grew naturally and were presumably eaten by *Y. horsfieldi* and *Z. otis* because, according to Peggie (2014), these butterflies feed on grasses.

The air temperature of this land was 34°C, relative humidity 67%, light intensity 883 lux, and wind speed 0.13 m/s. According to Susetya (2014), butterflies can be found at temperatures of 24-30°C, air humidity not less than 71-80%, and according to Hermawanto et al. (2015) butterflies can be found on the light intensity of 664-889 lux. Therefore, the air temperature and relative humidity at the location did not support butterfly life, and the light intensity was quite high. Butterflies avoid habitat with high light intensity because they are easily dehydrated while flying (Sulistiyani 2013). In addition, butterfly is a poikilotherm whose body temperature does not vary greatly with the environment; if the environment is too hot then its metabolism is disrupted.

*The diversity of butterflies in post-mining lands that had been revegetated*

The butterfly diversity in revegetated land was higher than that of unvegetated land (Table 1). The diversity of butterfly species in the revegetation field was 31 species belonging to 21 genera and 3 families. The highest species diversity was found in revegetation area over 20 years old, followed by that of 10-20 years old, 5-10 years old, 1-5 years old, and the lowest one was found in unvegetated land.

**Table 1.** List of species and number of individuals of butterflies in each revegetation area


Species	Number of individuals in each study area					
	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
<b>Papilionidae</b>						
<i>Chilasa paradoxa</i> Linnaeus						1
<i>Graphium agamemnon</i> Linnaeus						2
<i>Graphium evemon</i> Fruhstorfer						1
<b>Pieridae</b>						
<i>Catopsilia pyranthe</i> Linnaeus					1	
<i>Delias baracasa</i> Semper						1
<i>Eurema blanda</i> Moore				1		
<i>Eurema hecabe</i> Moore		6	3	2		
<i>Eurema sari</i> Moore			1	2	1	1
<i>Leptosia nina</i> Fabricius				2		
<i>Saletara liberia</i> Butler						7
<b>Nymphalidae</b>						
<i>Athyma nefte</i> Moore						1
<i>Charaxes borneensis</i> Fruhstorfer						5
<i>Charaxes durnfordi</i> Distant						5
<i>Cuphaery manthis</i> Sulzer		1		1		1
<i>Elymnias hypermnestra</i> Fruhstorfer					2	
<i>Elymnias panthera</i> Fruhstorfer						1
<i>Euploea core</i> Moore				1		
<i>Euploea mulciber</i> Cramer				1	1	2
<i>Faunis stomphax</i> Westwood						1
<i>Hypolimnas bolina</i> Linnaeus					1	1
<i>Ideopsis juventa</i> Fruhstorfer				1		
<i>Lexias immaculata</i> Snellen						1
<i>Lexias pardalis</i> Fruhstorfer						1
<i>Melanitis leda</i> Linnaeus					1	
<i>Moduza procris</i> Fruhstorfer						1
<i>Mycalesis fusca</i> C & R Felder					2	
<i>Mycalesis horsfieldi</i> Moore		2	2	1	1	1
<i>Mycalesis janardana</i> Fruhstorfer			2			
<i>Mycalesis mineus</i> Fruhstorfer			1	1		1
<i>Mycalesis perseus</i> Butler				1	1	
<i>Mycalesis visala</i> Talbot & Corbet				1		
<i>Neptis hylas</i> Moore		1	1		3	2
<i>Orsotriaena medus</i> Butler		1	2	4	1	2
<i>Parantica aspasia</i> Fabricius						1
<i>Prothoe franck</i> Tsukada						1
<i>Tanaecia cocytina</i> Tsukada				1	2	
<i>Tanaecia palguna</i> Distant				1	2	3
<i>Tanaecia pelea</i> Snellen						2
<i>Ypthima horsfieldi</i> Moore	3	1	2	5	1	
<b>Lycaenidae</b>						
<i>Arhopala aedias</i> C & R Felder						1
<i>Cheritra freja</i> Fruhstorfer					1	
<i>Drupadia ravindra</i> Staudinger						1
<i>Drupadia theda</i> Fruhstorfer						1
<i>Eooxylides tharis</i> Distant						1
<i>Euchrysop snejus</i> Fabricius			1			
<i>Flos anniella</i> Hewitson			2			2
<i>Lycaenopsis halandus</i> H. Druce						1
<i>Miletus biggsii</i> Distant					1	
<i>Nacaduba calauria</i> Corbet						1
<i>Nacaduba sanaya</i> Corbet						1
<i>Neocheritra amrita</i> H.H. Druce					1	
<i>Neomyrina nivea</i> Godman & Salvin						5
<i>Rapala suffusa</i> Fabricius				1		
<i>Zizina otis</i> Fabricius		1	1	6		1
Number of species	2	7	14	15	20	32
Number of individuals	4	13	26	26	26	57

Note: Area I: revegetation age 0 year, area II: revegetation age 1-5 years, area III: revegetation 5-10 years, area IV: revegetation age 10-20 years, area V: revegetation age more than 20 years, and area VI: primary forest

**Table 2.** Shannon-Wiener Index (H'), Species Richness Index of Margalef (DMG), and Evenness Index (E) of butterflies at each revegetation area

Parameter	Study area					
	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
Shannon-Wiener Index (H')	0.56	1.47	2.45	2.52	2.96	3.20
Species Richness Index of Margalef (DMG)	1.27	6.61	13.69	14.69	20.69	30.74
Evenness Index (E)	0.40	0.57	0.75	0.77	0.90	0.80

Note: Area I: revegetation age 0 year, area II: revegetation age 1-5 years, area III: revegetation age 5-10 years, area IV: revegetation age 10-20 years, area V: revegetation age more than 20 years, and area VI: primary forest



**Figure 2.** Shannon-Wiener Index (A) and similarity of vegetation (B) on revegetation land and primary forest

**Table 3.** Importance value index of each species in each study area

Study area	Plot	Family	Scientific name	Local name	IVI (%)
I	Herb	Poaceae	Unidentified A		62.83
	Shrub	Melastomataceae	<i>Melastoma malabatricum</i> Linnaeus	Kedebik	200.00
II	Herb	Poaceae	Unidentified A		60.63
	Shrub	Dilleniaceae	<i>Dillenia indica</i> Linnaeus	Simpur	71.42
	Pole	Fabaceae	<i>Accacia mangium</i> Willd	Akasia	300.00
	Tree	Fabaceae	<i>Accacia mangium</i> Willd	Akasia	300.00
III	Herb	Poaceae	<i>Imperata cylindrica</i> Rausch	Alang-alang	62.74
	Sapling	Fabaceae	<i>Accacia mangium</i> Willd	Akasia	75.48
	Pole	Fabaceae	<i>Accacia mangium</i> Willd	Akasia	300.00
	Tree	Fabaceae	<i>Accacia mangium</i> Willd	Akasia	300.00
	Herb	Poaceae	Unidentified A		100.13
IV	Sapling	Fabaceae	<i>Accacia mangium</i> Willd	Akasia	109.71
	Pole	Fabaceae	<i>Accacia mangium</i> Willd	Akasia	228.01
	Tree	Fabaceae	<i>Accacia mangium</i> Willd	Akasia	232.93
	Herb	Poaceae	Unidentified A		300.00
V	Sapling	Dilleniaceae	<i>Dillenia indica</i> Linnaeus	Simpur	142.50
	Pole	Dilleniaceae	<i>Dillenia indica</i> Linnaeus	Simpur	300.00
	Tree	Fabaceae	<i>Accacia mangium</i> Willd	Akasia	92.15
VI	Herb	Poaceae	Unidentified A		
	Sapling	Theaceae	<i>Schima wallichii</i> DC. Korth	Seruk	8.47
	Pole	Myrtaceae	<i>Tristaniopsis</i> sp.	Pelawan	70.47
	Tree	Myrtaceae	<i>Tristaniopsis</i> sp.	Pelawan	47.56

Note: IVI : importance value index

**Table 4.** The air temperature, air relative humidity, light intensity, and wind velocity at each study area

Abiotic factors	Unit	Study area					
		I	II	III	IV	V	VI
Air temperature	°C	34±1.20	36±0.40	34±3.40	28±0.60	27±0.50	26±0.40
Air humidity	%	67±1.00	69±0.70	74±1.10	85±2.10	86±1.50	89±0.30
Light intensity	Lux	883±25.00	685±43.00	371±35.00	94±39.00	62±32.00	26±4.00
Wind velocity	m/s	0.13±0.03	0.03±0.014	0.03±0.006	0.03±0.008	0.07±0.007	0.07±0.001

Note: \*) the average value of measurement results at three points in each transect line in the morning (08.30 WIB) and afternoon (15.00 WIB) for three days of observation



The land had been revegetated using the *Acacia mangium* Willd (Table 3) which is thought to be the butterfly's food. According to Busnia (2006), the presence of a species of butterfly in a given place is determined by the availability of plants that become hosts of the caterpillar. The butterfly diversity in revegetated land more than 20 years old was 21 species, the highest among the revegetated lands (Table 1), presumably due to the high plant diversity in this land.

The older vegetation contained more diverse plants. In this land, not only *A. mangium*, the planted species, was found, but also *Tristaniopsis* sp. (*pelawan*), *Gordonia* sp. (*pelempang*), *Rhodamnia* sp. (*jemang*), *Arthrophyllum* sp. (*julokantu*), and *Calophyllum* sp. (*betor*). These trees formed a tight canopy. Some of the butterflies found in this land are butterflies with wide wings and fly slowly, such as *Elymnias hypermnestra* Fruhstorfer, *Euploea mulciber* Cramer, *Faunis stomphax* Westwood, *Hypolimnas bolina* Linnaeus, *Melanes leda* Linnaeus, *Tanaecia cocytina* Tsukada, *Tanaecia palguna* Distant, and *Tanaecia pelea* Snellen. Some of these butterflies were found only in over 20-year-old vegetation, presumably because the butterflies fly among the trees to protect themselves from direct sunlight. According to Hammer et al. (2003), butterflies have different preferences for light intensity. Some species of butterflies prefer a shaded area to reduce the evaporation of body fluids.

The values of Shannon-Wiener diversity index, species richness, and evenness in the over 20-year-old vegetation were 2.96, 20.69 and 0.9 (Table 2), higher than those of the other revegetation sites. The high evenness index means that there was no dominance by one or more species. The revegetation land area over 20 years had air temperature of 27°C, relative humidity 86%, light intensity 39 lux, and wind speed 0.07 m/s (Table 4).

#### *The diversity of butterflies in the forest of Gunung Tajam*

The diversity of butterflies in the forests of Gunung Tajam, 31 species, was the highest among all the study areas (Table 1). The values of Shannon-Wiener diversity index, species richness and evenness were 3.2, 30.74 and 0.8 (Table 2), which means that the level of variety and richness of the species was higher than those of the revegetated areas, whereas the uneven distribution of butterflies means that there was dominance by one or more species. The high diversity of butterflies is thought to be due to the higher diversity of plants in Gunung Tajam forests that can serve as host plants and food source for the butterflies. According to Saputra et al. (2013), the high diversity of butterflies in a habitat can be caused the high plant diversity in the habitat, so the food for butterflies is also more available. Food is the most important factor in determining the spread and life cycle of butterflies. In addition, in the area of Gunung Tajam forest many nectar-producing flowering plants were found, such as *Dillenia indica* Linnaeus (*simpur*), *Pterocarpus indicus* Willd (*angsana*), and *Ficus* sp. (*beringin*). According to Schultz and Dlugosch (1998), the presence of butterfly species depends on the availability of the larva's host plant and also the source of the nectar for the adult butterflies.

The butterflies of the family *Papilionidae* were found only in the area of Gunung Tajam, because the plants which the family *Papilionidae* feed on were found only in the forest of Gunung Tajam. According to Maulidia (2010), the butterflies belonging to the *Papilionidae* are largely monophagous or have only one host to support their lives, so their presence is highly dependent on the presence of the host plants. According to Pegg (2014), butterflies of the family *Papilionidae* feed on the plants belonging to the families *Annonaceae*, *Lauraceae*, and *Piperaceae* which were found only in the forests of Gunung Tajam.

#### *The correlation between the diversity of butterflies and the success of revegetation*

According to Rohyani (2012) forest are considered stable as a reference in evaluating the success of revegetation because forest has characteristics or structures that are relatively stable to carry out their functions (production, protection, and conservation). The community similarity index between the vegetation in the former tin mining areas and Gunung Tajam forest increased with the increasing age of vegetation. At 0 year or unvegetated area, the similarity of vegetation with forest area was only 5%, but at 1-5 years it increased to 15%, at 5-10 years 18%, at 10-20 years 25%, and at more than 20 years 28%. This indicated that there was development of the vegetation in the former tin mining land from year to year, although the composition of vegetation had not matched that of the Gunung Tajam forest.

The increasing similarity of vegetation composition in revegetated land with that of forest along with the increasing age of vegetation was correlated with the increasing diversity of butterflies (Figure 2). According to Rahayu (2016), the community of insect increased with the increasing age reclamation and closer to the community of insect in forest. The index of Shannon-Wiener ( $H'$ ) diversity of butterflies was 0.56 in unvegetated land, then it increased to 1.47, 2.45, 2.52 and finally 2.96 when the age of the vegetation increased from 1-5 years, to 5-10 years, to 10-20 years, and to more than 20 years respectively. The index of butterfly diversity in the forest was the highest, i.e., 3.2. The diversity of butterflies increases with the age vegetation, because the structure and composition of vegetation become more complex and closer to those of natural forest. We can conclude that the butterfly diversity can be proposed as a success indicator of former tin mining land revegetation.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank our colleagues from Bangka Belitung University, Indonesia who provided insight and expertise that greatly assisted the research. We would also like to show our gratitude to the Laboratory of Entomology, Research Center for Biology, Indonesian Institute of Science (LIPI), for sharing their knowledge with us during the identification of butterflies, and we thank Profesor Investigador Titular Colegio de Postgraduados, Campus Veracruz, Mexico as our reviewer for his insights.

Although any errors are our own and should not tarnish the reputations of these esteemed persons.

## REFERENCES

- Busnia M. 2006. Entomologi. Andalas University Press. Padang. [Indonesian]
- Flemming WA. 1975. Butterflies of West Malaysia and Singapore Second Edition. Longman Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur.
- Hammer KC, Hill JK, Benedict S, Mustaffa N, Sherratt TN, Maryati M, Chey VN. 2003. Ecology of butterflies in natural forest of Northern Borneo: The importance of habitat heterogeneity. *J Appl Ecol* 40: 150-162.
- Hermawanto R, Panjaitan R, Fatem S. 2015. Kupu-kupu (Papilionoidea) di Pantai Utara Monokwari, Papua Barat: Jenis, keanekaragaman dan pola distribusi. *Pros Sem Nas Masy Biodiv Indon* 1 (6): 1341-1347. [Indonesian]
- MoF. 2011. Peraturan Menteri Kehutanan Republik Indonesia Nomor P.04/Menhut-II/2011. Tentang Pedoman Reklamasi Hutan. [Indonesian]
- Kusmana C. 1997. Metode Survey Vegetasi. Institut Pertanian Bogor. Bogor.
- Maulidia NA. 2010. Media perletakan telur dan silkus hidup *Graphium agamemnon* L. (Lepidoptera: Papilionidae) pada tanaman glodokan di Kampus I Universitas Islam Negeri Syarif Hidayatullah Jakarta. [Skripsi]. Universitas Islam Negeri Syarif Hidayatullah. Jakarta. [Indonesian]
- Odum EP. 1971. *Fundamentals of Ecology* 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition. Saunders. London.
- Peggie D. 2014. Mengenal Kupu-kupu. Pandu Aksara Publishing. Jakarta. [Indonesian]
- Peggie D, Amir M. 2006. Practical Guide to the Butterfly of Bogor Botanic Garden. Bidang Zoologi, Puslit Biologi, LIPI, Cibinong. [Indonesian]
- Pollard E. 1977. A method for assessing changes in the abundance of butterflies. *J Biol Conserv* 12 (2): 115-134.
- Rahayu GA. 2016. Keanekaragaman dan peranan fungsional serangga pada area reklamasi di Berau Kalimantan Timur. [Thesis]. Institut Pertanian Bogor, Bogor. [Indonesian]
- Rohyani IS. 2012. Pemodelan spasial kelimpahan *Collembola* tanah pada area revegetasi tambang PT Newmont Nusa Tenggara. [Thesis]. Institut Pertanian Bogor. Bogor. [Indonesian]
- Saputra M, Erianto, Siahaan S. 2013. Keanekaragaman jenis kupu-kupu pada ekosistem hutan rawa air tawar dan hutan dataran rendah di Desa Belitang Dua Kecamatan Belitang Kabupaten Sekadau, *Jurnal Biologi Untan* 1: 107-115. [Indonesian]
- Schultz CB, Dlugosch KM. 1999. Nectar and hostplant scarcity limit population of an endangered Oregon butterfly. *Oecologia* 199: 231-238.
- Seki Y, Yusuke T, Kazukisa O. 1991. Butterflies of Borneo 2 (1) Lycaenidae, Hesperidae. Tobishima Corporation. Tokyo.
- Sulistiyani TH. 2013. Keanekaragaman jenis kupu-kupu (Lepidoptera: Rhopalocera) di kawasan Cagar Alam Ulo lanang Kecubung Kabupaten Batang. [Hon. Thesis]. Universitas Negeri Semarang, Semarang. [Indonesian]
- Susetya RSA. 2014. Keanekaragaman kupu-kupu diurnal (Sub Ordo: Rhopalocera) di Kompleks Gunung Bromo KPH Surakarta Kabupaten Karanganyar Tahun 2013. Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta, Surakarta. [Indonesian]
- Widyaningrum E, Putri UA, Santosa MA, Nugroho AS, Kusuma BA. 2014. Biodiversitas kupu-kupu sebagai indikator sukse di kawasan lereng Gunung Merapi. Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta, Yogyakarta. [Indonesian]
- Wood B, Gillman MP. 1998. The effect of disturbance on forest butterflies using two methods of sampling in Trinidad. *Biodivers Conserv* 7: 597-616.

Home / Archives / Vol. 20 No. 7 (2019)



## Vol. 20 No. 7 (2019)

### Full Issue

Full Issue

Front Cover

### Articles

#### Community structure of Echinoderms in seagrass beds of Pacitan beaches, East Java, Indonesia

FARID KAMAL MUZAKI, EDWIN SETIAWAN, GHULAM FATHIR AUTHAR INSANY, NURUL KUSUMA DEWI, IWENDA BELLA SUBAGIO

PDF

#### Short Communication: Diversity and community structure of trees in two forest types in Mt. Apo Natural Park (MANP), Philippines

FLORENCE ROY P SALVAÑA, CHRISTIE KAYE C LOPEZ, CHERIE C MANGAOANG, BRYAN LLOYD P BRETAÑA

PDF

#### Using ecosystem approach indicators for assessing the ecological status of reef fisheries management in a marine protected area

ERNIK YULIANA, MENNOFATRIA BOER, ACHMAD FAHRUDIN, MOHAMMAD MUKHLIS KAMAL, SHINTA TRILESTARI PARDEDE

#### Antibacterial potential of nudibranch-associated bacteria from Saparua and Nusa Laut Islands, Indonesia

RHESI KRISTIANA, MADA TRIANDALA SIBERO, MACELLYNE YOHANNA FARISA, DIAH AYUNINGRUM, DIO DIRGANTARA, MUHAMMAD HANAFA, OCKY KARNA RADJASA, AGUS SABDONO, AGUS TRIANTO

PDF

#### Screening for potential antidiabetes and antioxidant activities of selected plants from East Kalimantan, Indonesia

RICO RAMADHAN, ALFINDA NOVI KRISTANTI, RUDIANTO AMIRTA, IRAWAN WIJAYA KUSUMA, PREECHA PHUWAPRAISRISAN, MUHAMMAD TAUFIQ HAQIQI, SAPARWADI SAPARWADI

PDF

#### Plant fruits used as food by the Dayak community of Tamambaloh in Labian Ira'ang Village, Kapuas Hulu District, Indonesia

MARKUS IYUS SUPIANDI, LELIAVIA LELIAVIA, DIDIN SYAFRUDDIN, YUNIARTI ESSI UTAMI, RUFINA SEKUNDA

PDF

#### The potential of yeasts from the oil-contaminated soil and mangrove rhizosphere for degrading crude oil

NUR HIDAYATUL ALAMI, LUTHFI LAILATUL MAULIDIYA, N D KUSWYASARI, ENNY ZULAIKA, MAYA SHOVI TRI

PDF

### Information

For Readers

For Authors

For Librarians

### Usage Statistics Information

We log anonymous usage statistics. Please read the privacy information for details.

### Journals List

Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity

Nusantara Bioscience

Prosiding Seminar Nasional Masyarakat Biodiversitas Indonesia

Prosiding Seminar Nasional Masyarakat Biodiversitas Indonesia

Asian Journal of Agriculture

Asian Journal of Ethnobiology

Asian Journal of Forestry

Biofarmasi Journal of Natural Product Biochemistry

Bioteknologi Biotechnological Studies

Bonorowo Wetlands

Cell Biology and Development

Ocean Life

Tropical Drylands

### Reviewers List

Reviewers

### Visitor Statistics

#### Visitors

 229,597	 4,475
 14,472	 4,240
 8,992	 3,272
 5,748	 2,465
 4,498	 2,069

FLAG Counter

Short Communication: Using wildlife for local livelihood – Experiences from the Bird's Head Peninsula, West Papua, Indonesia

FREDDY PATTISELANNO, JOHN R.M. APITULEY, AGUSTINA Y.S. AROBAYA, JOHAN F KOIBUR

PDF

Mammalian diversity in West Java, Indonesia

TEGUH HUSODO, SYA SYA SHANIDA, PUPUT FEBRIANTO, M PAHLA PUJIANTO, ERRI N MEGANTARA

PDF

Short Communication: Aphrodisiac plants used by Dayak Ethnic in Central Kalimantan Province, Indonesia

FAUZI FAUZI, HARTO WIDODO

PDF

Outline-based geometric morphometric analysis to identify two *Anopheles* and three *Culex* mosquitoes in Thailand

TANAWAT CHAIPHONGPACHARA

PDF

The structure of mangrove communities in response to water quality in Jakarta Bay, Indonesia

The structure of mangrove communities in response to water quality in Jakarta Bay, Indonesia

NILAM SARI, MUFTI P PATRIA, TRI EDHI BUDHI SOESILO, IWAN GUNAWAN TEJAKUSUMA

PDF

Epistatic gene control on the yield of tomato at medium elevation in the tropical agroecosystem

FAJAR PRAKOSO MAWASID, MUHAMAD SYUKUR, TRIKOESOEMANINGTYAS  
TRIKOESOEMANINGTYAS

PDF

Inventory and exploration of orchid in Polewali Mandar, West Sulawesi, Indonesia

DWI MURTI PUSPITANINGTYAS

PDF

Extinction risk analysis of *Anthocephalus macrophyllus* in Gunungsewu Karst Area, Southern Java, Indonesia

LIES RAHAYU WIJAYANTI FAIDA, HERO MARHAENTO

PDF

Optimization of manganese bioleaching activity and molecular characterization of indigenous heterotrophic bacteria isolated from the sulfuric area

Optimization of manganese bioleaching activity and molecular characterization of indigenous heterotrophic bacteria isolated from the sulfuric area

DENAYA ANDRYA PRASIDYA, WAHYU WILOPO, I WAYAN WARMADA, ENDAH RETNANINGRUM

PDF

Glyphosate resistance of *Eleusine indica* populations from North Sumatra, Indonesia

KOKO TAMPUBOLON, EDISON PURBA, MOHAMMAD BASYUNI, DIANA SOFIA HANAFIAH

PDF

Fatty acids composition and biohydrogenation reduction agents of tropical forages

MALIK MAKMUR, MARDIATI ZAIN, YETTI MARLIDA, KHASRAD KHASRAD, ANURAGA JAYANEGARA

PDF

Short Communication: The diversity of butterflies (Superfamily Papilionoidea) as a success indicator of tin-mined land revegetation

DINDA WIRANTI, EDDY NURTAHAYA, DAHELMI DAHELMI

PDF

Screening for amylolytic activity and characterization of thermophilic

Actinobacteria isolated from a Geothermal Area in West Java, Indonesia

WINDA AYU SYAFITRI, FITRIA NINGSIH, PUTRI PRATIWI SETYANINGSIH, MAZYTHA KINANTI

<https://smujo.id/biodiv/issue/view/227>